

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/454,526	12/06/1999	MATTHEW G. GORBET	07447.0044-0	3842
22852	7590 03/01/2002	•		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER .	
DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2876	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	•		
Advisory Action	09/454,526	GORBET ET AL.	
بالمراجع المراجع	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Jamara A. Franklin	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce addr ss	
THE REPLY FILED 2/19/02 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a chipleces the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying 1	he
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendme	nt
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: the			;
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	10.	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		ANOUAEL C I EE	
	Sup T	MICHAEL G. LEE ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800	\

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitations citing "visible data and embedded data embodied, wherein said embedded data is non-parthogonal to the visible data" have not previously been examined and would require further search on the part of the examiner.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-6 remain rejected as set forth in the final rejection of paper no. 9.